



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,453	07/12/2005	Martine Mayne	13777-37	7851
45473	7590	05/28/2009	EXAMINER	
BRINKS, HOFER, GILSON & LIONE P.O. BOX 1340 MORRISVILLE, NC 27560				MCCRACKEN, DANIEL
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1793				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/28/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/518,453	MAYNE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	DANIEL C. MCCRACKEN	1793	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DANIEL C. MCCRACKEN. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Allyn B. Rhodes (56,745). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 5/21/2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Mayne, Terrones, Kamalakaran.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants representative presented arguments with respect to the pressure recited in Claim 1 in relation to the prior art. The rejection of Claims 2-3 under 35 USC 112 was discussed. As the issues required further consideration, no formal agreement was reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Daniel C. McCracken/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1793

/Stanley Silverman/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793